

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/024,224	VATAJA, TIMO	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	Venkat Perungavoor	2432	

  

**All Participants:**

(1) Venkat Perungavoor.

(2) Pedro Rojas.

**Date of Interview:** 8/10/2009

**Type of Interview:**

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

**Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:** ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: .

**Part I.**

**Rejection(s) discussed:**

35 USC 112, 1st

**Claims discussed:**

52

**Prior art documents discussed:**

  

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*The Examiner indicated that the "computer-readable medium" recited in the claims was not disclosed in the Specifications. And suggested a "multimedia device" take the place of that term. The Attorney of record, Pedro Rojas, agreed to this suggestion.*

**Part III.**

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

  
  
  
  
  
  
  
  
  
  

/Venkat Perungavoor/  
Examiner, Art Unit 2432

**Status of Application:** After Non-Final

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

**Time:** 10:00 AM

  
  
  
  
  
  
  
  
  
  

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)